

	- Company of the Comp	** ** *** *** *** *** *** *** *** ***			
PPLICATION NO	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09.937,484	01:23:2002	Keith Alan Foster	1581.0870000 RWE MTT	2134	
75	90 10.03.2003		EXAMINER		
Sterne Kessler Goldstein & Fox			AUDET, MAURY A		
Suite 600 1100 New York Avenue NW			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Washington, D			1634 DATE MAILED: 10/03/2003	9	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application	on No.	Applicant(s)					
	09/937,48	4	FOSTER ET AL.						
Office Action St	Examiner	W.A.	Art Unit						
	Maury Au								
The MAILING DATE of Period for Reply	this communication app	ears on the	cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress				
A SHORTENED STATUTOR THE MALLING DATE OF THI Extensions of time may be available us after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailin If the period for reply specified above + If NO period for reply is specified above + If NO period for reply is specified above + If NO period for reply is specified above + If NO period for reply is specified abov Failure to reply within the set or orders Any reply received by the Office later if owarred patent term adjustment See 3 Status	S COMMUNICATION, der the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 g date of this communication, s less than thirty (30) days, a reply e, the maximum statutory period w ted period for reply will, by statute, han three months after the mailing	36(a). In no every within the statuvill apply and will cause the apply and will cause the apply and will be apply apply and will be apply and will be apply apply and will be apply apply and will be apply and will be apply apply and will be apply	ent, however, may a reply be tim story minimum of thirty (30) days Il expire SIX (6) MONTHS from ication to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely, the mailing date of this con D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	imunication.				
1)⊠ Responsive to commu	unication(s) filed on 23 J	lanuary 200	02 .						
2a) This action is FINAL.	2b)⊠ Th	is action is	non-final.						
3) Since this application closed in accordance Disposition of Claims	is in condition for allowa with the practice under	ance excep Ex parte Q	t for formal matters, pi uayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	rosecution as to the 153 O.G. 213.	ments is				
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>30-47</u> is/are p									
4a) Of the above claims	(s) is/are withdray	wn from co	nsideration.						
5) Claim(s)is/are a	allowed.								
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.									
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.									
8) Claim(s) 30-47 are sub	ject to restriction and/or	r election re	quirement.						
Application Papers									
9) ☐ The specification is obje	•								
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.									
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1 85(a).									
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.									
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action									
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.									
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119	and 120								
13) Acknowledgment is ma	ade of a claim for foreigr	n priority ur	ider 35 U.S.C. § 119(a	i)-(d) or (f).					
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:									
 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 									
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No									
	rtified copies of the prior rom the International Bu d Office action for a list	reau (PCT	Rule 17.2(a)).		itage				
14) Acknowledgment is mad	le of a claim for domesti	ic priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional	application).				
a) ☐ The translation of t 15)☐ Acknowledgment is mad									
Attachment(s)									
Notice of References Cited (PTO-2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Dr. Information Disclosure Statement(awing Review (PTO-948)		Interview Summar Notice of Informal Other						
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office	045 1	ation Cuma		Part of	Paper No. 9				

Art Unit. 1654

DETAILED ACTION

Flection/Restrictions

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

- Claims 30-38, and 45-46 are drawn to a conjugate or nucleic acid containing the conjugate, classified in class 530, subclass 300+.
- 11. Claims 39-42, and 47 are drawn to a method of using the composition, classified in class 514, subclass 2.
- Claims 43-44 are drawn to a method of preparing a compound, classified in class 424,
 subclass 1.69

The inventions listed as Groups I-III do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons:

An international and a national stage application shall relate to one invention only or to a group of inventions so linked as to form a single general inventive concept ("requirement of unity of invention"). Where a group of inventions is claimed in an application, the requirement of unity of invention shall be fulfilled only when there is a technical relationship among those inventions involving one or more of the same or corresponding special technical features. The expression "special technical features" shall mean those technical features that define a contribution which each of the claimed inventions, considered as a whole, makes over the prior art. An international or a national stage application containing claims to different categories of

Application/Control Number: 09/937,484
Art Unit: 1654

invention will be considered to have unity of invention if the claims are drawn only to one of the following combinations of categories: (1) a product and a process specially adapted for the manufacture of said product; or (2) a product and a process of use of said product; or (3) a product, a process specially adapted for the manufacture of the said product, and a use of the said product; or (4) a process and an apparatus or means specifically designed for carrying out the said process; or (5) a product, a process specially adapted for the manufacture of the said product, and an apparatus or means specifically designed for carrying out the said product, and an apparatus or means specifically designed for carrying out the said process. If multiple products, processes of manufacture or uses are claimed, the first invention of the category first mentioned in the claims of the application and the first recited invention of each of the other categories related thereto will be considered as the main invention in the claims, see PCT Article 17(3)(a) and 1.476(c).

The alleged special technical feature is a peptide or nucleic acid, as discussed above.

However, none have been specifically defined and assuming some may be used in one group and not another, or even that any may be used, the same peptides or nucleic acids do not run respectively through the groups. Thus, there is no special technical feature among Groups I-III and they lack unity.

Additionally, the inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I and II are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product

Art Unit: 1654

as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case the method may be practiced by any number of undisclosed peptides or nucleic acids, therein (as the claims are indefinite as to which ones may be used or may not be used).

Inventions III and I are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case, the conjugates, peptides, and nucleic acids may be made by other synthetic processes, for instance by individual residue synthesis rather than coupling together.

The methods in Groups II-III are directed to different inventions, which are not connected in design, operation, and/or effect. These methods are independent since they are not disclosed as capable of use together, they have different modes of operation, they have different functions, and/or they have different effects. One would not have to practice the various methods at the same time to practice just one method alone.

ELECTION OF A COMPOUND/COMPOSITION OF THE INVENTION

The invention(s) does not contain a distinguishable core structure that runs through the respective compositions claimed; therefore, an individual structure and/or sequence search (namely, as in claim 30, a specific peptide and in claim 38 a specific nucleic acid) is required to be defined for the composition, with all other elements therein. As part of the electing one of Groups I-III as the elected invention, Applicant is required to elect a specific composition, and

Art Unit: 1654

all its elements, to which the elected invention will be examined on the merits as drawn to. This requirement is not to be taken as an election of species, but rather as an election of a single invention, since each compound/composition is assumed to be a patentably distinct invention, in the absence of evidence to the contrary.

The several inventions above are independent and distinct, each from the other. They have acquired a separate status in the art as a separate subject for inventive effect and require independent searches. The search for each of the above inventions is not co-extensive particularly with regard to the literature search. Further, a reference, which would anticipate the invention of one group, would not necessarily anticipate or even make obvious another group. Finally, the consideration for patentability is different in each case. Thus, it would be an undue burden to examine all of the above inventions in one application. Restriction for examination purposes is therefore proper.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CRF 1.143).

Applicant is reminded that upon cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the

Art Unit: 1654

application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a petition under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

No claims are allowed.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Maury Audet whose telephone number is 703-305-5039. The examiner can normally be reached from 7:00 AM – 5:30 PM, off Fridays.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Brenda Brumback can be reached at 703-306-3220. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-308-4242 for regular communications and 703-308-1234 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-1235.

MA September 30, 2003

> FULLAL FULL LE BRENDA BRUMBACK SUPERNSORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1600